

# FY2019 MONTANA 319 NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECT SCORING SHEET

(To guide Agency Review Panel discussion)

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Sponsor: \_\_\_\_\_

Reviewed By: \_\_\_\_\_

General Application Considerations		Score* (0, 1, 2 or 3)	Weight	Points Awarded**
<b>A</b>	<b>Agency Review Panel</b>			
A1	The project addresses significant source(s) of nonpoint source pollution within a watershed.		4	
A2	The project will promote self-maintaining, natural, ecological, and social processes that will protect water quality.		4	
A3	Pre-project planning has been initiated and the project has clearly identified tasks that include adequate information to describe work to be accomplished.		4	
A4	Project costs are reasonable as compared to anticipated results.		4	
A5	Nonpoint source goals for the project are clearly defined, measurable, and attainable.		3	
A6	The project involves appropriate partners and has significant stakeholder support.		2	
A7	Outreach and education component(s) of the project targets the most appropriate audience(s) with an appropriate delivery method.		1	
A8	The project location provides direct public recreational or aesthetic benefit.		2	
A9	The project provides additional benefit to natural resources. (improvements directly address recovery of an aquatic species of concern, natural water storage is addressed, direct benefit to native fisheries, wetland enhancement and protection, etc.)		1	

<b>B</b>	<b>Montana DEQ (This section will be completed by DEQ staff prior to the Agency Review.)</b>			
B1	The project is an appropriate next step for making progress towards the removal of a pollutant/waterbody combination from Montana's 2018 Impaired Waters list.		5	
B2	Sufficient technical and managerial resources are available to facilitate completion of the project, including consideration of past performance.		4	
B3	Extent to which the project reduces pollutant loading above a permitted point source in a manner that could contribute to future economic benefit for a downstream Montana community.		3	
B4	Project involves appropriate measures of project effectiveness.		2	

\*1, 2 and 3 correspond to Low, Medium and High, respectively (i.e. 3 = High). 0 indicates the application does not address the question.

\*\*To calculate Points Awards, multiply the Score by the Weight Factor (i.e. 3 x 2= 6 Points Awarded)

<b>Agency Review Panel Score</b>	
<b>Montana DEQ Score</b>	
<b>Total Score</b>	
<b>Funding Recommendation</b> <i>FF = Fully Fund, PF+ = Partial Fund (&gt;50%), PF- = Partial fund (&lt;50%), NF = Not Fund</i>	

***Reviewer comments and justification for funding recommendation.***

**General Comments:** \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

**Tasks and Budget Recommendations:** \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

**Additional Information Required from Sponsor:** \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_